G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901.
(Mwzrai)

File No. /20.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1913.

SUBJECT.

Passing orders in the matter of appeal relating to the "Tantrika" Service in the Sri Kalaseswaraswamin temple at Kalasa

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Mwz. 7. 211 of 1904.
	" 288 of 1906.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Assistant Commissioner of the Kadur District about "Tantrika" service in Kalasa Temple.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 1172 dated 3rd February 1902 from the Dy Commissioner Kadur District submitting the records connected with the Appeal

"Tantrika" service in Malnad appears to be what is called Agama service in these parts. No duty is attached to this but during Rathotsavam and other Utsavams some special service is rendered by

8087
The appeal may be transferred to the present Dy Com for disposal

Attd & returned

23/10/02

27.X.02

Rd 19-8-02.

by his "Tantrika" or
"Agamik" and he is given
some reward for the service
so rendered. This is quite different from Archikship

2 The present appeal[x]
is from one Adigalu
Rama Jois of Kalasa in the
Mudgere Taluk claiming
to perform the "Tantrika" service
in the Kalaseswaraswami
Temple at Kalasa, every
year, during the Kalyanotsavam
and the Rathotsavam.

[x] Petn no 534 dated 10th December 1904.

3 The facts of the case
are as follows :—

3 One Thimaji Tasha
Bhatta was performing the
"Tantrika" service in the Sri
Kalaseswaraswami Temple,
under authority of the
Sanad granted to his ancestors

him

in the year ೧೮೭೯ by

Lamasekhara Naik

of Keladi Samsthanam,

read from the Dg Cons

a copy of which is hereto

attached. The said

Lasha Bhatta complained

the 26th April

to the Amildar on 1897,

that he was not allowed

by Archigalu (Worshipper

or Archak) Rama Bhatta

to perform as usual, the

"Santhiya Seva" at the

time of the consecration

ceremony of Bhavijastumbha

in the Kalaseswaraswami

Temple which took place

on the 19th of that month,

that on the other hand

he (Rama Bhatta) himself

performed the service and

took the emoluments attached

* *

which runs thus :—

"× × × × × ×

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹಾಲಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ನ

ಕಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ .....

ಇವರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆದ ಕಾಗದ

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹಾಲಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ನ

ಕಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಇವರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ

ಬರೆದ ಕಾಗದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹಾಲಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ನ ಕಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ"

thereto. and therefore requested that he might be allowed to do his usual work as In support of his claim he produced the original Sanad referred to in para 3 above.

4 In the course of the enquiry by the Sthalar of the Temple and the Auditor of the Madurai Zuluk, Rama Bhatta produced a copy of ~~[illegible]~~ said to have been granted to his ancestors by Sreepada Bhyrava Wadiyar of Kalasa Samsthanam, in the year Vibhava of Saliwahana Sukha 1550. The copy is also hereto attached. His contention was that in

in accordance with
this document he had
been performing the Nitya-
-Kattle and [illegible] [illegible] service
in the temple and therefore
could not allow the
said Seshu Bhatta
to perform "Tantrika"
service during Giriya-
Kalyana. The document
runs thus:—

" × × × × × ×

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible] . . . . . [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]

" [illegible]."

5- In the course enquiry held by the Authorities, it was proved by oral and documentary evidence that the service in question was being performed by Lasha Bhutia and he was that receiving the emoluments attached to it viz for Girija Kalyan Rs 6 and for Rashtriya Rs 10. Lasha Bhutia says that, as he had to absent himself from on a previous occasion about 5 or 6 years ago and could not attend to the service owing to some unavoidable circumstances he requested Rama Bhutia to do the work for him but that when he came and asked him

the next year he would not allow him to do the service, saying that he had no right to it.

6 With all these circumstances before him the Arbitrator reported the matter to the Magistrate Officer of the Kudur District, observing that the Samand held by Lisha Bhatta showed that he was entitled to "Santika" Office and that the Deed of Gift produced by Rama Bhatta did not show that he had any right to the same, it being a document given to his ancestors for Adigalu Sonnekam, which Office he already held accordingly.

7 The Magistrate Officer

× Reported no 85 dated 7th March 1901

Mr. B. Rameswamiyya

Huzoor passed an order × No 103 dated 26th
that the Purohita Service August 1907
should be performed by
Lesha Bhatta because
it appeared from the records
that he was doing it
in previous years and
because the Inamdar also
showed that he was entitled
to the Office -

8 Rama Bhatta submitted
a review petition to the Dt
Magistrate Officer on the
20th September 1907. Dt
Praying
Rejecting the Application
Petn the Assistant Collector
Stating In this petition he
urged that he and
two other persons
were the Hissedars
holding the Archak's appointment
and

and during the duty by turns;
that the daily and the
extra-ordinary services
used to be rendered by
the respective Archak in
his own turn; that ~~only~~ however
during Girija Kalyana it
was manual for his alone
family to do Dantika
Service; that during
the Car-festival he used
to take the assistance of
Lesha Bhatta and that
on the strength of this
the latter claimed the
right to himself.

¶ The other two Archak
Hissadars by name Lanka
Narayana Bhatta and
Venkatasubbayya also
presented petitions on
the

fresh grounds.

12 In pursuance of the Govt. Order No 35-44 dated 8th July 1901, the appeal against the native magistrate Asst Commr in the Ot- where there is a European Dy Commr, lies to Govt- direct. In the Kurdwar Dt- our Sparkes was then the Dy Commr.

Submitted to the Dewan

Ps
10/10/02

Under the circumstances of the case, I think the appeal may be rejected.

11/10/02

The original samads produced by the parties have not been submitted to this Office.

Re Mayer
12.10.02
6581

P.T.O

× a printed copy of which is put up

Note continued :—

13. As ordered by the Dewan, the appeal was transferred to the then Deputy Comr. Mr. B. G. Krishnamurthy of Kadur for disposal together with the petitions subsequently received. The present Deputy Comr. Mr. M. Narayana Rao who disposed of the case states in his Kannada order — that Ramabhatta produced copy of a deed of gift written on a palmyra leaf said to have been made by Keladi Somasekhara naik to Sankar Hollar in 1603 of Saliwahana (ಶಾಲಿವಾಹನ ಶಕವರ್ಷ ೧೫೨೫ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಮಾದೀ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ); also Seshabhatta too produced a deed of gift made by the same prince in favor of one Linyanna (ಶಾಲಿವಾಹನ ಶಕವರ್ಷ ೧೫೨೫ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಮಾದೀ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ); that even supposing that the Sannads are genuine it is not known what relationship exists between the persons named in the Sannads and the present disputants; that in the Sannads produced by both of them it is stated that the persons therein named should perform the Tantrika service in the Kalas a temple, but in the copy of Sannad produced by Ramabhatta it is stated that Sankar Hollar is given the right of performing the Tantrika service during both the Car festival and Girijakalyana and in the Sannad of Seshabhatta there is reference only to the service at the Car festival; that from the accounts of the temple since 1886, it is evident that Ramabhatta has been performing the Tantrika service during the Girijakalyana every year receiving Rs 6/- and Seshabhatta during the Car festival receiving Rs 10/-; that as the parties have not produced satisfactory documentary evidence in respect of their rights it has to be settled according to practice & incumbency and that it has therefore been ordered by him that Ramabhatta should perform the Tantrika service during the Girijakalyana and Seshabhatta to do the same during the Car festival.

vc876d: 3.11.02

vc44d: 25.7.03

14. Against the above order of the Deputy Comm. Mahabalabhatta, minor son of Seshabhatta and two others, appeal on the following grounds.

i That from a long time the Tantrika service in the Kalasa temple is being performed by themselves or their gumastas (paid servants),

ii That they are themselves performing the service during the Car festival that takes place in the summer season and they have deputed Ramappa to perform the service during Sirijathaka as it takes place in the Karthika masa when the rivers ~~are~~ etc (between Sringeri where they live and Kalasa where the temple is situated) are difficult to be crossed and as they cannot attend to the temple services in time and that the Sanads granted to their ancestors clearly say that the Tantrika service should be performed by them only;

iii That the Dt. Mun: officer has passed orders in their favor recognising their right to the Tantrika service when Rama Jois petitioned that the service belonged to them;

iv That the Amildar has reported that Ramajois having deposed on a previous occasion that he had no other Sannad except the one granted by Veerabhadra Naik, the Sannad now produced by him is not genuine and

v That his appeal should be decided after perusing the temple accounts prior to 1866 and the records with him.

Subd
RS
14/1/04

~~I think that~~

I find that the appeal petition now to be dealt with has not been stamped Return it for being duly stamped with a two Rupee Stamp

14/1/04

Subd

The petitioners have again addressed a petition stating the grounds above set forth and having affixed two Rupees worth stamps.

RS
30/3/04

Subd to the Dewan.

This is an appeal against the order of the Dy. Commr of Kadur that Ramachatta should perform

the Jantrika service during the Vivahakalyana and Brahmotsava during the car festival, the appeal is by Venkatachalabhatta, minor son of Seshabhatta, claiming hereditary right to the office and as such he has to be decided falls under rule 12 XII (a) of the Council Rules. It may therefore be referred to the Council-Committee for disposal

8.4.04.

1707/3
8.4.04

Yes.
4.VI.04

8.6.04.

Ord. no. 3908 Govt (Jud.)
Mun. F-63-93 16.6.04.

Transferred to the Secretary to Govt. General and Revenue departments for favour of the matter being placed before the Council committee for disposal.

By order

Asst. Secretary

Offg Munz. Secretary

Ord. 18/6 Bangalore
11th June 03

To Pet. Branch for needful action
18/6/04

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 153 of 1907

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Mahabala bhatta
minor by guardian & uncle
Krishnabhatta of Sringeri
& two others.

Rama bhatta of
Kalasa, Kudgere Dt.

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Dy Comr Kadur Dt., declining to recognise the claim of the apptt. to perform the 'Tantrika' service during the Girijakalyana festival of Kalasheshwaraswamy at Kalasa, Kudgere Dt..

Date of hearing 20-6-04.
Notice served on both.

no cv
14-8-04.

Parties & Advocate in person
Advocate is also represented
by Mr Ramaswamy Iyengar

Order.

The Application
coming before the Dy
Comr was duly heard
The papers relied on by
the

MGCPB—500—10-8-03.

temple accounts were
also produced by the

Officer - Upon the evidence
before him the Divisional
Court held that the
Rathotsavam Kautuka
belongs to the Appellant
the Gurukkalgana Kautuka
to the Respondent - From
this finding Court see no
reason to depart. The
appellant has not shown
that the Result declared
at the latter Junction as
his Gurusta

The appeal fails
& is dismissed

23/8/04

23/8.

D-of ii
HR
5/9/04

Muz. No. 1]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 424

Name of Petitioner— Adigal Ramaja Sankaramarayana Bhatta, s/o Venkata Subbasastry of Sri Kalaseswaraswamy Temple

Address— Mudigere Kalasa Taluk.

Date of Petition— 5-11-01.

Date of Receipt— 7-11-01.

No. of Enclosures— 2.

Abstract

The Petitioners appeal against the order (No. 1959) of the Muzrai Assistant Commissioner, Kadur Dt. and pray that they may confirmed in the Service (Adigala) of the Sri Kalaseswaraswamy Te

T—14.

Class 07 | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Monthly Local No. 43

To Station Cal | From Station Bellary (Race)

Words.	Day	Hour	Minute.	Official Instruction.
39	1	11	5	

To (Person) | From (Person)

The

11
12
13
14

Recd. from L. N. | Sent to L. N.

At Hrs. Mts. By | At Hr. Mts By

Postmaster.

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	A group of 5, or less figures, is reckoned as one word.
Ordinary „	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	
Urgent „	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept *ALL RISKS* arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram enclosing this receipt.

For detailed rules, see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt must be placed above the dotted line

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient

F.A.

Class. *(in full.)*

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To *(Office)*

Number.

Date Stamp of Post Office.

Cost *Rs.* *As.*

Extra charges if reply, Delivery, &c. *Rs.* *As.*

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars, giving full cost to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY, in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMP.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT, the entire stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line.

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices. Postage Stamps are only accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Office for transmission.

For* INLAND TELEGRAMS *only.

Stamps cut, torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the ferm and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary.

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day.*	*H.*	*M.*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION.

To

(Telegraph Office) .....

(Person) .....

(Address) ..... *(in full)*

ADDRESS FIRST

From

(Telegraph Office) .....

(Person) .....

Here write whether your telegram is State *or* Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender, it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY *or* DEFERRED

5

2

10

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sent to *LN.*

At *Hrs.* *Mts. by* .....

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. *(Not signalled.)*

his Pass entitles the addressee to send a foreign telegram within the limits of the
it prepaid to any destination whatever. When the charge for the telegram franked
a Pass exceeds the value of the Pass, the difference must be paid in cash. But when
ntrary case occurs, the difference is refunded to the depositor of the prepaid amount
applies for it.

he Pass remains in force for six weeks only counting from its date, after which it is
ered to have lapsed. When the addressee does not make use of the Pass the money
ted for the reply is refunded if the following procedure be adopted, namely, the ad-
e must, before the expiration of three months from the d te of issue, return the Pass
office which delivered it accompanied by a request for the amount to be refunded to
nder (*i.e.*, the depositor of the reply prepaid amount).

F. N.
No. 0037

Local No. 43
(of R. P. Telegram.)

Government Telegraph Department.

Name and Date Stamp of Booking Office.

Pass for a Reply Paid Telegram.

The sum of Rupees (in words) Nil
Annas Eight
has been deposited for a reply to this telegram, The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within 30 days of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the telegram* ...m. This Pass may also used for any Inland tele... am sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

PARTICULARS OF THE TELEGRAM.		
I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made.		
Bikaner (Rly)		
II. Date and Time of Telegram.		
9-11-07	11	5
III. Name of Addressee		
Rajgarh (Alw)		

AGENT

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of the reply be less than the amount deposited, no refund will be given for the amount not availed of; but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been deposited will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta, if made within 30 days of date of issue. The application must be supported by this Pass, without which no refund will be granted.

This Pass is for a reply to a foreign telegram, see Service Regulations printed on the back of this form.

amou
by thi
the o
if he
consid
depos
dresse
to the
the se

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS

The address (including name of Station and name and residence of person)

	First 8 words	Each additional word
Deferred Message	8 As.	2
Ordinary "	1 R.	4a.
Urgent "	2 R.	8 As.

N[illegible] for delivery within a distance of [illegible]



INDIAN TELEGRAPH.

Class

Monthly Local No.

To Station

From Station

Words	Day	Hour	Minute.	Official Instruction.

To (Person)

From (Person)

We hereby
give orders to perform
tanto. &c as before till
enquiry performance
begins 20th

10 JAN 17 NO. BANGALORE FORT

Recd. from L. N.

Sent to L. N.

At Hrs Mts. By

At Hrs Mts. By

Date

Postmaster.

RECEIVED Rupees (*in words*)

amount of money order payable to (*name of payee*)

799

Commission Rs. . *As.* *M. O. Clerk*

ನಂ. 229 [illegible]/2.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ 1901 ನೇ ನವೆಂಬರ್ ಮಾಹೆ 20ನೇ

ಮರ್ಹ್ಯುಂ 473 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಕರಾರುಜರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.~~ [illegible]

[illegible]

23. 11. 01. Draft.

B. 6

[signature] 26/11/01

Deputy Secretary

Muz: Departt

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಅಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಮಗಳನ್ನು ಎಬ್ಬಿಸಿ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳ ಕಣ್ಣೀರು ಒರೆಸಿ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಾದ ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂಡಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮನ ಪ್ರೀತಿಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಅವಳ ಸಿಟ್ಟು ಕರಗಿ ಹೋಯಿತು. ಅವಳು ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮನನ್ನು ಅಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುದ್ದಾಡಿದಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮನ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಸಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂಡಿಯನ್ನು ತಿನ್ನಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂಡಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಂದು ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಬಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಬಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಧರಿಸಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಆಟಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಆಟಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಡಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಆಟವಾಡಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಗುರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಗುರುಗಳಿಂದ ಕಲಿತು ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾರ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದು ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮನಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಿಂದ ಯೋಚಿಸಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಹೃದಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಹೃದಯದಿಂದ ಪ್ರೀತಿಸಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಆತ್ಮವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಆತ್ಮದಿಂದ ಬದುಕಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ದೇವರನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ದೇವರನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಮ್ಮ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮೋಕ್ಷವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅವಳು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮೋಕ್ಷವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 16 3
MUZRAI BRANCH

14/12/07

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(2)

ಶ್ರೀಯುತರವರ ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಂತೆ
ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರವರ ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಿನಯಪೂರ್ವಕ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಅಸಲು ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೇದಾರಿಕೆಯ
ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸು ಮುಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ
ವಿಧೇಯನಾದ ಹಿರೇಮಠದ
ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.

ವಿಳಾಸ:- ನಿಲ್ದಾಣದ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಚಾಂದಿ ಮನೆ - ಹೀರೇಮಠ
ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸ. ಸಾ: ಹೀರೇಮಠ ತಾ: ಸಿರಗುಪ್ಪ
ದಾಖಲಾತಿಗಳು 20-7-ರಂದು ನೀಡಿ, 24-7-ರಂದು
ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾದಂತೆ 2-11-ರಂದು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ
ಇದರ ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಮೊದಲೇ
ಬಿದ್ದು ಹೋಗಿವೆ. ಈ ಪತ್ರವು ಹೀರೇಮಠ
ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರು ತಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರವಾಗಿದೆ.
ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರವರ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ ಆರ್.ಐ.
19-8-ರಂದು
1ನೇ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಈ ಪತ್ರವು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಂತೆ
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಾಗ ಹೀರೇಮಠ ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರ
ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಕಳೆದು ಹೋಗಿರುವುದು
ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು
ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿದೆ.
ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ತಾ. 2-11-ರಂದು ಆರ್.ಐ. ಸಿರಗುಪ್ಪ

X 26-8-ರಂದು
ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ ಪತ್ರ 103.

ನಕ್ಕಲ್

ನಿಮ್ಮ ... ೯೫

... ಅಂಚೆ ...

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಾಪ್ರಸ/ಆಡಳಿತ/೨೦೨೩-೨೪/೪೭೨

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೬.೨೦೨೩

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರಿಗೆ

ಸಂಘದ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರ

ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಸಂಘಟನೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಂದು ದಿನದ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರವನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿರುವ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲದವರು ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಇದೇ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ. ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಮತ್ತು ಅಗತ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕು.

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರದ ವೇಳಾಪಟ್ಟಿ: ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೯.೩೦ಕ್ಕೆ ನೋಂದಣಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ. ೧೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಂದ ಉದ್ಘಾಟನೆ. ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಊಟದ ವಿರಾಮ. ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೨ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪುನರಾರಂಭ. ಸಂಜೆ ೫ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಮಾರೋಪ. ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕು.

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲಾಗುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳು: ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ವಿಮೆ, ಪಿಂಚಣಿ ಯೋಜನೆ, ಸಾಲ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ, ಮಕ್ಕಳ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿವೇತನ, ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳು, ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಘದ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆ. ಪ್ರತಿ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಮಯ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರದ ಸಂಯೋಜಕರಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಬಾಯಿ ಅವರು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ೯೮೪೫೦ ೧೨೩೪೫ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬಹುದು. ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೈರುಹಾಜರಾಗುವವರು ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

Do 1110 & 1111 d
En 4 13.1.02

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 534
dated 10th Dec 1901 received at the
Dewan's Office from Adiçala Rama
J dis of Kalasa Mudgere Taluk
appealing against the
order of the ~~D Court~~ Muzrai Asst Commr Kadur Dist
regarding the Tambuka Service
in the Sri Kalaseswara Swami
Temple at Kalasa Mudgere Taluk
is forwarded, together with its 3
enclosures, to the Dy Comr. Kadur
Dist., for Submission of
the Records Connected
with the case —

By Order,

21/1/02

BANGALORE, 190

Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary

Encl. to the Petn.



10/2/02

Refer
hs

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901 02

From H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To L. Ananta Sami Rao Esqr BA
Deputy Secretary to Govern-
-ment of Mysore, Muzrai
Department.

Dated 3rd February 02

Despatched 8/2

Received

General No. 1172 } 190
No.

Enclosures Number. V. Papers

Forwarding the entire
records connected
with the Sambrika
service in the Sri
Kalaseswara Swami
temple at Kalasa
in the Mudigeri Taluk

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's

Office.

Dated, Chikamagalur 3rd February 02.

From

H. H. Sparkes Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District.

To. L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.

Deputy Secretary to the Government

of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

As desired in Government official Memorandum No. 1110 of the 23rd January 02, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records ending with the Mudigeri Amildar's report No. 36 of the 10th October 01 re- the Tantrika Service in the Sri Kalaseswara Swami temple at Kalsa in the Mudigeri Taluk

The file of papers received with memorandum under reference is also herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

H. H. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District

HR.



Vide Enst No: 1111

dt 23/1/1902.

be disposed of with D.C.'s letter
dt. 6/3/02
No. 1172-83 2-02.

ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್

898

No. 876 dt 3/11/02

ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಫೀಸರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ. ಸ್ಟೇನದ ಮುಚ್ಚಳಗಳ ಖರೀದಿ
ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ವಿಳಂಬವಾಗಿದೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯಾದೇಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರಬರಾಜುದಾರರು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಪೂರೈಸಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪನಾ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಂದ
ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆ ಬರುವವರೆಗೆ ಕಾಯಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ
ಅನಗತ್ಯ ವಿಳಂಬವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಕಾರ್ಯಾದೇಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಸರಬರಾಜುದಾರರಿಗೆ
ಸ್ಮರಣ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಮಯ ಉಳಿತಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರಬರಾಜುದಾರರು
ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣ ಕೇಳಿ ನೋಟೀಸು
ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆ ಬರುವವರೆಗೆ ಕಾಯದೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕಾರ್ಯಾದೇಶವನ್ನು
ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಮೊತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾವಾರು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮುರಿದುಕೊಂಡು
ಉಳಿದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.
ಈ ವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದ ಸರಬರಾಜುದಾರರಿಂದ
ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು
ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

Muzrai.

Read:—

Appeal petition of ~~Ag~~ Adigala Rama Joi against the order of Muzrai Assistant Commr. of the Kadur District regarding the Tantrika service in the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa, Mudige Taluk.

---

876 Enl file V.P.P.
Muz 63-93
2877. D/ 3/11/02

Order thereon:—

The appeal is transferred to the present Dy Commr (Mr B.G. Krishna Iyengar) for disposal.

31/10/02

Dewan's order

Dy Secy
Muz Dept

The Dy Commr
Kadur Dt: returning
entire Records received
with his letter No 1172 dy
3rd February 1902—

Enl 21-11-02

No. 1129 Encl + 113 v
Mur: D. 63-93 Dy 21-11-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petitions No.s 75, 530 A, 530 B dated 6.7.02, 13.9.02 and 13.9.02 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Adigala Ramojois of Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk regarding the Tantrika Service in the said temple

are
~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ ~~their~~
one enclosures, to the

Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, for disposal in continuation of this Office Pro: No. 876 dated 3d November 1902 Mur: 63-93

By Order,

Dfc-

21/11/02

BANGALORE,
21st November 1902.

Deputy ~~Chairman~~ Secretary
Mur: Deputy

Ks

Ent-Copies



265

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1903 —1904 ನೇ ಇನೆವಿ ಕಿರ್ಯಾಲ ನಂಬರು. 153

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಾದಿ.

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೃತಿವಾದಿ.

ಮಾ॥ ರಾ॥ _____ಯವರಿಗೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಾಖಲು ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತನಾಮಾ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈನಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಮಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸ್ಟ್ಯತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತ್ವೇವು ವೆಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 19ನೇ
ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ 1903

ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇಡಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

Apply to S. Subba Rao & Bros, Chick Petta Bangalore City.



1903

No. 1154

Name of Petitioner: Mahabal Batta, Minor by guardian & uncle Krishnabatta and others 8

Village: Seringapatna

Taluk:

District: Kadur

Date of Arzi: 9-11-03

Date of Receipt: 11-11-03

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: Nil

ABSTRACT

State that they are hereditary to the Sanketika (Poojas) duty being performed in the Kalaseswaraswamy temple of Kalasa; that owing to the inconvenience on account of rain, it was not possible for them to go to Kalasa for performing Geriga Kalliamotsava occurring in Karthika masa, that so they appointed Rama Joisa of Kalasa as Gurusta for the above purpose; that

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

I transferred to Mysore Department for disposal - 23/11

21-11-03

Rec'd 28/11/03

Refers it away

28/11/03

may be forwarded as in continuation submit with a draft

2/12/03

having been given to understand that
the said Rama gowda said that
he was the Archak duly to the said
duty the petitioners desire d
to discharge the duty themselves;
that on Rama gowda objection
to this alone, the petitioners'
right was restored by the
Revenue District Dy Comm after
enquiry & order no 103 d/28-8-01
to that effect has been conveyed
to the deceased Shashadhara
Bhatta Mudagere Taluk Amildar
vide no 68 of 14/5/01. and it
was also reported by the
Amildar that the proceeds
for a decree by Rama gowda
could not strike the root of;
that notwithstanding the
above an order no 440/28/7/03
from the Dy Comm has been
conveyed by Mudagere Tk
Amildar no 160/3-8-03 to the effect
that Rama gowda has been
ordered to discharge Archaka
duty in Gerige Kalleswara
as he has been doing the above
duty from 1866 & that the petrs
have no concern; that the above
order has been passed without
perusing the proceedings in the
absence of the petitioners &
pray that the proceedings
by the then Rajas and proceedings
in the possession of the petitioners
& proceedings in the temple, before
1866 may be perused, that enquiry
be held in the presence of the
petitioners and that the petitioners'
hereditary right be confirmed
& orders also be passed
not to give "moras" to Rama gowda
and to keep the same in deposit

Ulf

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy: Commissioner,

Kadur District

Dated 5th

Despatched December 1903.

Received

General No. 1625 & 1626.
Muzrai F. No. 63-93

Enclosure No. One.

In contn of this office memo
No 1129 Dt 24.11.03, has the honor
muz: 63-93
to forward herewith Petn no 1154/746
Dt 9.11.03 from Mahabala Bhatta
of Sringere re the Jantri ka—
Service in ~~Sri~~ New Kalaseswara—
Swamy temple at Kalasa and
to request that the result
of the action taken in the
matter should be reported
~~to Govt in the Muz: Dept with~~
~~for information.~~ Yours &c.

Dy: Secy

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

Encl to the Petn: to Muz Dept



1903-04

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department

Rupees
Rs
17/12/03

Dated 15th

Despatched 16th December 1903

Received

General No. 307
No.

Enclosure No. 19

Reporting in the matter of Petition from Mahabala Bhatta of Sringeri regarding the right to perform the Tantrika Service in the Srikalaseswaraswami Temple, Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 15th December 1903

From

M Narasu Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

L Anantasami Rao Esqre B A
Deputy Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore, Nursai Department

Sir,

In repl, to your docket no 1625 Mun 6393 of the 5th instant forwarding Petition no* 1154 dated 9th November 1903 from Mahalla Bhatta of Sringere re the Jantrika Service in the Sri Kala-
Seswara Swamy temple at Kalasa, Mudgere Taluk, I have the honor to report that the Petitioner (a minor) is the son of Sevabhatta, between whom and one Rama Joi there existed a dispute regarding the right to perform the Jantrika Service in the Kalaseswara temple. The said Rama Joi's appeal to the Decree in the matter having been transferred to me for disposal vide Order no. 876 (Mun) dated

20 1903

* (herewith returned)

dated 3d November 1902. the parties were heard in person and after a careful perusal of the records, I came to the conclusion that Seshabhatta was entitled to perform the said service on the occasion of Car festival and Rama Jois to do the same service on that of the Girija Kalyana every year. A copy of my order dated 25th July 1903 is enclosed for information.

2. The Petitioner appeals against this order.

3. The Kannada papers connected with the case are herewith forwarded for reference and Kindly return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most Obedient Servant
M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳ ಸ್ವಭಾವ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾದಂಬರಿಯ

ಶುಭಮಸ್ತು :- ನಮಸ್ತುಂಗ ಶಿರಶ್ಚುಂಬಿ ಚಂದ್ರಭಾಮಾ
ಭಾಂಶವೆ। ಶ್ರೀಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಿವಾಸಾಂಬುಜಮೂಲಸ್ತಂಭಾಂ
ಯ ಶಂಭವೆ॥ ಘನ್ನ ಶ್ರೀಯಾಚ್ಛಹೃದಯಶಾಲಿ ಮಾನವ
ಶವಲೋಕಾಂತಿನಿವಶೇಷಮಾನಶೈಸಂದುಬಳುವ ಶೈದ್ರಸಂ
ವರ್ಸರಮಾಶ್ರೈಶಳ್ಳಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾಸಿಕ ಶೈಲ್ಯಾಸೈಲಾಳಶಿಖ
ರನಾಯಕರು ಶಳ್ಳಿಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಸೀಲಾಲಾಲದಮಿಶಂಪ
ಳಿಗಾಸು ದೀಪದೊಳ್ಳರಮುನಶಂಕರಹೊಳ್ಳರಿಶಾಲಾ
ಶಿದಪ್ಪಳಿಯ ದಾನಪಚ್ಚಿಯಪ್ರಮ ಪಿಂಶೆಂದಶಿ. ನಾಪ್ಪ-
ಶ್ರೀಕರಲಶಿಶರಸ್ಥಾಲ್ಯುಯವಶಿಸಿ ಜ್ಞಂನಾಂಶದಸ್ಥಿ ಮಳ್ಳಿಸ
ಸುಮ್ಮಿಸುವದಸ್ಥಿ ಯಾ ಲೋಕಶ್ರೀಹೃದಯಮೂಚಿಶವಳಾಲದೆಲ್ಲ
ನೀಪ್ಪ-ಪಿಲ್ಲಿಶಿಂತರದ್ಸೀಂದನಿಸುಳಿ-ಪಾಲಾಶಾಲವದೂ
ಯಾ ಶಲಶೈಶರಸ್ಥಾಲ್ಯುಯುವಲ್ಲಿಯಾನನಿಲಿಯುವ
ಶಹೋಶ್ರೈವಪ್ಪಾಶಾಶಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ-ನಿಲಿಯುಶಿಸ್ಥಿ ಸಂಜ್ಞಾಂಶ
ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗೋಶ್ರೈವಮುಂಶಿಂದ ಶಿಶೀಷಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಲಿಯ
ಬೀಕ್ಷಾದ ಆಸಪ್ಪೋಕ್ಷ ಶಾಂಶ್ರೀಕರಶನದಪ್ಪಳಿಯುಂನು
ನಿನಾಳಿ-ಶಿನಾಲಿಗಿಶಿನಾ ಸೀಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಲಿಸ್ಥಿನಿಲುಪ್ಪ ಶೀಂಪ್ಪಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಾಶಿಸಿಲುವಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಿಶಸಾಸ್ಥಿನಮುಂಶಿಂದಯ್ಯಕ್ರಾಂ
ಶ್ರೀದದೀಪಸಾಸ್ಥಿನಸಲ್ಲಿ-ನಿಹಾಂಯಾ-ಸೀಮ್ಮಿ ಸೀಂಲ್ಲಿ
ನಿಲುನಾಮ ಸಂಶಿಂಪಾರಂಪಿಯಾಲಿಂಚಾಸಿ ನಿಲಶಿಸೀಂ
ಡು ಬಾಡದು ಯಂಬದಾಂ ಶಾಲಾಶಿದಪ್ಪಳಿಯ ದಾನಪಚ್ಚಿ
ಸೀಸ್ಥಾಲಿಶೀಲಿಬನಾಂಯತೆರು ಯಂಶಲ್ಲಿ

Copy of a copy Entered
in a Book of Palmyra
leaves produced by
Rama Jois.

28/12/07

Produced by Kannada
Sesha Bhatta.

23.12.02

ప్రేమగల యుగాదివత్సరానందన్నినరవరధిపుత్రశ్రీకాంతశరణులకు
బంధనవాగ్లాలయవనత్రయమ్మీమాతనుశ్రీ ఎవరుయుగాదవనీన
ఖవరశతిశత్రేబరాసుశీరిని యువనవత్సరశ్రీశిగుపవదానిశాయి
మేమిచ్చియుయ్యి –

Note Portions have been torn away

Order

[illegible] 1886 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 25/28 - 7 - 03.

(Signed) M Narayana Rau
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Mr Narayan Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur district

No 205-2
D/ 18/11/64

Pet 20 11 54
D/ 11/11/03. 746

Returned to the
Petitioner on the appeal
Petition is not stamped

2nd Lt
Dy Secy

2085.

Transferred to the

Urgent Department

for disposal.

29/2/04

29/2/04

Read

3/3/04

RK

Please continue the

Office-note

28/3/04

A- No 153 of 1903 04.

No. 1957

Name of Petitioner Mahabala Bhatta
Son of Santuka Subramanbhatta
minor by Krishnambhatta

Village Sirsigal

Taluk Kasaragod

District Kasaragod

Date of Arzi 20 2 04

Date of Receipt 25. 2 04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil —

ABSTRACT

State that the Santuka Mutt of Kalaseswara Swamy Temple at Kalasa Mudigere Taluk belongs to the minor Mahabala Bhatta and pray that the order assigning the same to Ramastha may be cancelled &c.

SLR

29/2/04

MGCPB—5,000—10 11-02

Appeal no. 153 of 1903-04.

Two Rupees Stamp affixed.

1957 2085

Mysore
Receipt No. 195
Date 25-2-1

20-2-1904

65
14-9-1901

103
26-8-1901

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಖಾತೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಕುರಿತು

ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ 1866ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ

ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ 28-7-03ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 16 ದಿನಾಂಕ 3-8-03

ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 20 ದಿನಾಂಕ 27-8-03 ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ

ಇದು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಖಾತೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ 1866ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ

ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ 28-7-03ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 16 ದಿನಾಂಕ 3-8-03

ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 20 ದಿನಾಂಕ 27-8-03 ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಶಿರಸಿ
ತಾ: ಶಿರಸಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ: ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ

Before the Govt. of H. H
the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal no. 153 of 1903-04

Appellants.

1. Mahabala Bhatta
son of Sesha Bhatta.
minor by guardian &
uncle Krishnabhatta

2. Krishna Bhatta
3. Bussu Bhatta

Respondents.

Rama Jois

Subject

Appeal against the order of the Dy. Commr of Kanara Dt. declining to recognize the claim of the appellants to perform the "Tantrika" Service during the Ganapa Kalyana festival of Kalasaswara Swami at Kalasa in the Mudigere Taluk.

Facts of the case

On the 26th April 1897 the 1st appellant's father Seshabhatta

Papers submitted
Dy. Commissioner
8.9.1904
8/9/04

7-20/9.04
8.9.04

Dl.
8-9-04
To 2nd Commissioner
9.9.1904
9/9/04

U.O No. 378 17.9.04
Transferred to the
Inspector Office
10.9.1904
14/9/04

Appeal
14/9

Read
20.9.04
14

Complained to the Amildar of the Municipal Taluk, that the Respondent refused to allow him to perform the "Tantrik" Service on the occasion of the Consecration ceremony of Dhavajastamba in the Kalasanathaswami Temple which took place on the 19th instant. The Amildar held an enquiry and reported that according to the Sannads produced by the parties Seshabhatta was entitled to "Tantrik" Service & the Respondent to Archakship alone. Thereupon the Asstt. Commissioner, ~~Dindigul~~, directed that Seshabhatta should be allowed to perform the "Tantrik" Service. The petitions presented by the Respondent & two others for a reconsideration of the above order were, after further enquiry in the matter, rejected by the same Officer on the 2nd Nov. 1901.

Against this order, the Respondent appealed to Govt. on the 10th December 1901 ~~against G.O. No 35-44 dated 8th July 1901, appeals against the orders of a Subordinate~~

the Archaka

in the same month

and recorded that the Respondent was only performing the duties as his servants

produced

and the evidence ~~taken~~ during the enquiry

on the 26th August 1901

~~Murugan Asst Court Bangalore~~.
~~in a District where the Dy.~~
~~Commissioner is a Europ~~ean
~~his D Govt Servts, and A,~~
~~the Appellant was then the~~
~~Dy. Commissioner of the District~~
In G.O. No. 876 dated
Jud 7-63-93
3d Nov. 1902 Govt. transferred the appeal to the ~~Asst~~ Dy. Commr. ~~for D Dy.~~ ~~Kishangarh~~ for disposal ~~The present Dy Commissioner~~ ~~has to hear~~ ~~Asst~~ to dispose after hearing the parties and on perusal of the documents relied on by each ordered on 25.7.03 that the Respondent should perform the Tantrika Service during the Giri ja Kalyana festival and the 1st Appellants father Seshabhatta should do the same during the Car-festival The appeal is from this order.

Decision

The appellants father appeared before the Dy. Commissioner and was duly heard. The papers relied on by him as well as also the temple accounts

were also perused by that Officer. Upon the evidence before him the Dy. Commissioner has held that the Kathotsava Sanctioned belongs to the 1st Appellant and the Girijakalyana Sanction to the Respondent. From this finding I see no reason to dissent. The appellant has not shown that the Respondent merely officiated at the latter function as a his gumasta.

The appeal fails and is dismissed.

C+2 no: 1114 Bn 17th, Dy 26/9/04.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy. Commr of the Nasik Dist. together with the records received with his letter no. 307 dated 15th December 1903.

24/9/04

Secretary

Bmz. Dist

no: 1115 Dt 26/9/04.

[illegible]

[illegible] ఉండి.

(3) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(2) కృష్ణ భక్త మరియు (3) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 20-2-04 న

[illegible] 1957 న [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[signature]
4-9-04

Reminder

Copy

No: 1116 Dt
26/9/04

Secy.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 26th September 1904.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. 153 of 1903-04.

Appellants	*Versus*	Respondent
1. Mahabala Bhattah, son of Sesha Bhatta, minor by guardian and uncle Krishna Bhatta.		Rama Jois.
2. Krishna Bhatta.		
3. Bussu Bhatta.		

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District declining to recognize the claim of the appellants to perform the "Tantrika" service during the Girija Kalyana festival of Kalaseswaraswami at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk.

Facts of the case.

On the 26th April 1897, the 1st appellant's father Sesha Bhatta complained to the Amildar of the Mudgere Taluk, that the respondent, the archak, refused to allow him to perform the "Tantrika" service on the occasion of the consecration ceremony of Dhwajastambha in theKalaseswaraswami femple, which took place on the 19th of the same month and contended that the respondent was only performing the duties as his gumasta. The Amildar held an enquiry and reported that according to the Sannads produced by the parties and the evidence produced during the enquiry, Sesha Bhatta was entitled to "Tantrika" service and the respondent to Archakship alone. Thereupon the Assistant Commissioner directed on the 26th August 1901 that Sesha Bhatta should be allowed to perform the "Tantrika" service. The petitions presented by the respondent and two others for a re-consideration of the above order were, after further enquiry in the matter, rejected by the same Officer on the 2nd November 1901. Against this order, the respondent appealed to Government on the 10th December 1901. In G.O. No. 876 Muz.—F. 63-93, dated the 3rd November 1902, Government transferred the appeal to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal. He after hearing the parties and on perusal of the documents relied on by each, ordered on the 25th July 1903 that the respondent should perform the "Tantrika" service during the Girija Kalyana festival and the 1st appellant's father Sesha Bhatta should do the same during the car festival. The appeal is from this order.

Decision.

The appellant's father appeared before the Deputy Commissioner and was duly heard. The papers relied on by him as well as the temple accounts were also perused by that Officer. Upon the evidence before him the Deputy Commissioner has held that the Rathotsava Tantrika belongs to the 1st appellant and the Girija Kalyana Tantrika to the respondent. From this finding Government see no reason to dissent. The appellant has not shown that the respondent merely officiated at the latter function as his gumasta.

The appeal fails and is dismissed.

No. 1114 DATED BANGALORE, 26TH SEPTEMBER 1904.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, together with the records received with his letter No. 307, dated 15th December 1903.

Draft

Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 26th September 1904

No. 1114
M. 13-13

Passing orders in the matter of the appeal relating to the "Tantrika" Service in the Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk.

BEP—19-10-04—200